

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DEBARON SANDERS.

Petitioner,

VS.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

### Respondents.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01068-JAD-GWF

## ORDER

17        This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
18 2254, by a Nevada state prisoner. This matter has not been properly commenced because petitioner  
19 submitted incomplete financial paperwork. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR 1-2,  
20 petitioner must attach both an inmate account statement for the past six months and a properly  
21 executed financial certificate. Petitioner in the instant action has failed to submit an *in forma*  
22 *pauperis* application that provides the necessary financial information. Petitioner failed to include a  
23 copy of his inmate account statement and failed to submit a financial certificate signed by an  
24 authorized prison or jail officer. The Court is unable to see, *inter alia*, the regularity and amount of  
25 any incoming funds as well as the extent to which petitioner is making discretionary expenditures  
26 that instead could be applied to payment of the filing fee.

27 Due to the defects presented, the pauper application will be denied, and the present action  
28 will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper

1 application with all required attachments. It does not appear from the papers presented that a  
2 dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed new petition being untimely. In this  
3 regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal  
4 limitation period as applied to his case, properly commencing a timely-filed federal habeas action,  
5 and properly exhausting his claims in the state courts.

6 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc.  
7 1) is **DENIED** and that this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to the filing of a  
8 new petition in a new action with a properly completed pauper application with all new and  
9 complete financial attachments.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that all pending motions are **DENIED** without prejudice.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall send petitioner two copies each  
12 of an application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and a noncapital  
13 Section 2254 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the  
14 papers that he submitted in this action.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner may file a new petition and *in forma pauperis*  
16 application in a new action, but he may not file further documents in this action.

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

18 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. Reasonable  
19 jurists would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be  
20 debatable or wrong.

21 Dated this 2nd day of July, 2014.

22  
23   
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28